

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/911,047	07/23/2001	Glen H. Erikson	E1047/20060 3230		
3000	7590 09/29/2006		EXAMINER		
,	RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, OKOTILOW, LTD.		FORMAN,	BETTY J	
	R, SEVEN PENN CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ET STREET PHIA, PA 19103-2212		1634		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	 	Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	
		09/911,047		ERIKSON ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		BJ Forman		1634	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the co	rer sheet with the co	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS (FR 1.136(a). In no event, ho on. period will apply and will exp statute, cause the applicatio	COMMUNICATION by owever, may a reply be time ire SIX (6) MONTHS from to n to become ABANDONED	ely filed he mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition is in condition.	This action is non-flowance except for	formal matters, pros		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1-4,6-9 and 12-37 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,6-9 and 12-37 is/are rejected Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a son Papers The specification is objected to by the Example of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the content of the specific of t	hdrawn from consid I. Ind/or election requi miner. I accepted or b) o the drawing(s) be he	rement. bjected to by the E	37 CFR 1.85(a).	1
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th				,
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been re ments have been re priority documents ureau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Applicatio have been received .2(a)).	n Nod in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notico 3) 🔯 Inforn	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) · No(s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	e´.	

Application/Control Number: 09/911,047 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. This action is in response to papers filed 15 August 2006 in which the specification and claims 34 and 36 were amended and the previous rejections were traversed. The amendments have been thoroughly reviewed and entered.

The previous rejections in the Office Action dated 22 May 2006 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph are withdrawn in view of the amendments. The previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) are withdrawn in view of Applicant's comments on page 12 of the response regarding a non-covalently bound label. Meade specifically teaches away from the claimed intercalating labels (Column 4, line 20-Column 5, line 21). The previous rejections under and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are withdrawn in view of Applicant's comments on page 15 of the response. Applicant's arguments have been thoroughly reviewed but are deemed moot in view of the amendments, withdrawn rejections and new grounds for rejection. New grounds for rejection are discussed.

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 12-37 are under prosecution.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 16, step c, "first" is misspelled "fast".

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least

Art Unit: 1634

one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 12-37 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-5, 8-12, 14-17, 25-26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,656,692. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are drawn to similar methods for assaying a target via detection of a complex resulting from hybridization between a probe and target, the complex being homologous duplex, homologous triplex, homologous quadruples, Watson-Crick triplex or Watson Crick quadraplex. The claim sets differ merely in the arrangement of limitations within the claim sets. For example, independent Claim 1 of the instant claim set defines the detection as a comparison of signals from sequentially applied stimuli. These elements are found in dependent Claims 8-12 of the '692 claim set. Hence, the sets of claims are drawn to methods of similar, almost identical scope, which are not patentably distinct.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 12-37 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 28-46 of U.S. Patent No. 6,924,108. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are drawn to similar methods for detecting a complex resulting from hybridization between a probe and target, the complex being homologous

Art Unit: 1634

duplex, homologous triplex, homologous quadruples, Watson-Crick triplex or Watson Crick quadraplex. The claim sets differ merely in the arrangement of limitations within the claim sets. For example, independent Claim 1 of the instant claim set defines the complexes formed, while dependent Claims 44-45 of the '108 claim set provides the same definition. Furthermore, independent Claim 1 has detection and comparison steps while dependent Claims 28 and 39 of the '108 claim set provides the similar steps. Hence, the sets of claims are drawn to very similar methods, which are not patentably distinct.

Conclusion

- 6. No claim is allowed.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BJ Forman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0741. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 TO 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check

Art Unit: 1634

PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

BJ Forman, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1634 September 26, 2006